G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—20-12-13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1901-02

(Police.)

File No. 42 of 01-02.

Serial Nos. 1-2

~~Papers Filed.~~ B.

Month January 02

SUBJECT.

Prosecution of certain parties who brought about the Infant Marriage at the Village of Mittemari in the Bellapalli Talu

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.

Commissioner

Secretary

Secretary
K. Rangaswami

MYSORE, DEWAN'S OFFICE.

DEPARTMENT

SECTION Police.

FILE No. 42 of SUBJECT

SERIAL N

OFFICE NOTE

LAST REFERENCE

Re the prosecution of certain parties who brought about an infant marriage at the village of Mittemani in the Bagepalli Taluk of the Kolar District.

Letter No. 111 dated the 26th October 1901

From the Inspector General of Police in Mysore.

The Inspector General with his letter referred to above submitting the proceedings connected with an infant marriage which took place on the 18th June 1901 between one Venkatanarasamma (a girl aged about 7 years and 4 months) and a boy named Venkata Subbiah (aged about 16 years

years) at Muttemari in the Bagepalli Taluk, requires sanction to the prosecution of the marginally noted persons, who brought about the marriage in question.

The following persons have been examined in the case as witnesses by the Amildar of the Bagepalli Taluk:-

Subba Rao. Police Inspector, Muttemari Station.

2. Maddinedde, Patel of Muttemari.

3. Lakshminarasappa Shanbogue, Muttemari.

4. Makula Venkatadasappa.

5. Devala Chendrayah.

6. Venkatarama Bhatta Purohit.

7. Hayam Narayana

8. " Narasiga

9. Dhobi Nallega.

10. Bontha Appiah (father of the bride)

1 x Bonthalu Bodda Appiah (father of the bride) see

2. Krishnappa (uncle of no 1).

3. Manchenahalli Gallam nanjundiah (father the bridegroom)

4. Lakshminarasappa (Purohit).

was 7 years, 5 months and 23 days or ~~nearly~~ nearly 7½ years. Thus it will be seen that the period prescribed in Section 2 of the Regulation fell short of only 6 months.

It may also be noted that the prosecution the parties (Nos 10, 11 & 12 & 13) recommended, the I.G.P. showed an only on the eighth of an ~~in~~ in the Births' is Act. The name

2. For the purposes of this Regulation an "infant-girl" means a girl who has not completed eight years of age.

of the girl does not even appear in this entry and in the absence of the same, it is doubtful ~~[illegible]~~ whether that entry appertains to this particular girl.

Submitted for orders.

MA
15/11/01.

The only evidence to show that the girl was below the limit of age prescribed in the regulations is the entry in the Birth and Death Registers. The evidence of the Postal and Shaw Bazar who have made the entry is the only evidence to connect the girl in question with the entry. Otherwise there is no satisfactory evidence of the identity. It is also

8

doubtful how far the entry can be presumed to be accurate. The parents and their witness state that the girl is about nine years. They rely on an entry in a book. Even if the entry in the Register of Births & Deaths be believed, the girl falls short of the prescribed age by about 7 months. The prosecution seems to be of doubtful prospect

Pro'
16/11/01

I think that a prosecution under these circs is inexpedient & even if obtainable-

16.11.01

Dr Read

Draft an order accdy please. This need not have taken such a long time.

To be
Submitted with the draft
& the Dewan.

27/11/1901

SECTION

FILE No.

SUBJECT No.

Subs to Govt for appl.

20.11.01

Rd 29.11.01

2582.

Read,

Letter no 111 dated the 26th October 1901 from the Inspector General of Police in Mysore, submitting proceedings connected with an infant marriage which took place on the 18th June 1901 between one Venkatanarasamma and a boy named Venkatsubbiah at Mittemari in the Bagepalli Taluk and soliciting sanction to the prosecution of the parties concerned in the said marriage.

2809

The Enquiry has wisped the principal point. Both the Shanbogue & the Sales are decisive that the Enquiry respecting the married girl. The father of the girl stated that after the birth of the married girl 1 daughter & 2 sons were born. Whether these could have been verified & it was also ascertained whether the girl in question has or had a younger sister - and whether such girl has not been married & not having attained puberty.

As all the available informants have not been examined, and the evidence on record does not appear to be conclusive the Sanction applied for cannot be granted Rep 31.XII.01 until

Put up papers

Order thereon.

Govt deem it

it inexpedient to accord sanction in this case, the only evidence i.e an entry in the Register of Births and deaths that the girl was born on the 26th January 1894, being insufficient ~~and inconclusive~~ to establish a prima facie case against the persons concerned in the marriage referred to.

28/11/07 28.11.07 Secy:

To,

The I. G. of Police in my sve.

11 009

5478

29. X. 1901

DEWAN OF MYSORE

SECTION

FILE No.

SERIAL No.

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL
OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPTS.

Dated 26

Despatched October 1901

Received

General No. 111
No.

Enclosure No. V. records

Forwards certain records in an Infant Marriage Case & requests the prosecution of the parties therein mentioned

MGCPE—3-3-01-

OFFICE OF THE
INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

No. 111 Dated Mysore 26 October 19

Enc. V. Records;

From

B. K. Venkatanarada Iyengar Esqr.
~~Offg.~~ INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To H. V. Nanjundayya Esqr. M.A, M.L
~~THE~~ SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No- 81 of the 4th October 1901 from the Assistant Superintendent of Police of the Kolar District in re the marriage in the Mitteman village of the Bagepalli Taluk, of one Venkata - narasammah, a girl aged about 7 years and 4 months, daughter of

Bantala Dodda Appiah. I solicit

Sanction to the prosecution of the

marginally noted persons under the

Infant Marriage &c

Prevention Regulation.

The records of the

case are herewith forwarded

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Offg. Inspector General of Police.

1. Bantala Dodda Appiah father of the bride.
2. Bantala Krishnappa, Uncle of Appiah and manager of the family.
3. Manchenahally Tallam Nanjundiah father of the bridegroom.
4. Priest Lakshminarasappa of Mittemere.

Encl to A.

~~189~~ 1901–1902.

FROM

The Assistant Superintendent of Police, Kolar District

thro' To the Deputy Commissioner of the Kolar District

The Inspector General of Police, Mysore, Bangalore.

Dated 4th

Despatched 6th } October 1901.

Received

General No. 81

No.

Enclosure No. 1 file of records.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith the records received from the Amildar of Bagepalli, in a case of Infant Marriage at Mittemara village of his Taluq, in which one Venkata Narasamma, a girl aged about 7 years 4 months old, daughter of Bandala Doddappiah, has been married to one Subbiah, a boy aged about 16 years, son of Manchenahalli Tallam Venkatachiah, on 10 June

June 1901. On the [illegible] on the Station Duffedar, the Amildar has enquired into the matter and has submitted his report praying sanction being obtained to prosecute the marginally noted persons under the Infant Marriage Regulation.

Shanbogue Lakshmiarnappa and Patel Maddi Reddi State that the girl now married was born on 26-1-94 and their statement to are supported by the entry in Birth and Death Register of the village for that date written in the handwriting of the former and signed by the latter.

The marginally noted persons speak to the marriage having been performed on the said date and that they were present during the occasion.

The first accused while admitting the marriage states that the girl is aged 9 years.

*1. Bandla Seetha Ramiah (Father of the bride)
2. - do - Krishnappa Canada (Uncle of No.1 and the manager of the family)
3. Ramchennakulli Tallam Chandramma Dasi
4. Puvvalit Lakshmiarnappa (Father of Mittamma).

X1 Raghunatha Venkatadasappa
2. Devula Chandraiah
3 Raghavan Chettiar
4. Ramchennakulli Venkatasamma Dasi.
5. Hajjam Narayani
6. Hajjam Muniga

years and in support of his statement pro-
duces a note book in which an entry is
made that a daughter by name Venkata-
Narasammah was born to him in Bhadra-
pada of Vandana year which corresponds
to August 1892, but no corresponding entry
is found in the birth register of the same
month. The 2nd accused states that he
is the head of the family and that
the marriage was performed under his
immediate management and that the
girl was about 9 years old at the time, as could
be made out from the entry in the note
book produced by the 1st accused.

The 3rd accused states that the girl
was represented to be 9 years old and
that he did not suspect the first two
accused's statements.

The fourth accused admits having acted
as priest for both parties but pleads that

that he was not aware of the girdage.

The husband of the bride being a minor, his prosecution is not urged.

As the Police investigation clearly points to a breach of the Regulation, I solicit that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained for the prosecution of the persons above enumerated.

I have &c,

(Sd) C. Narayana Rao

Asst. Superintendent of Police

Kolar District.

"Copy"

Offg. Inspector General of Police.

25.10.07. SJ.

Police
42 of 1901-02
2

Read,

Letter no 113 dated the 26th October 1901, from the Inspector General of Police in Mysore, submitting proceedings connected with an infant marriage which took place on the 18th June 1901 between one Venkatanarasamma and a boy named Venkatasubbiah at Nittenani in the Bagepalli Taluk and soliciting sanction to the prosecution of the parties concerned in the said marriage.

No: 1263 & 7-1-02
Encl file

Order thereon.

Observe that the enquiry in this case has

has missed the principal point. Both the Shanbogue and the Patel are decisive that the entry in the Register referred to the girl whose marriage is the subject of ~~the~~ this correspondence ~~married girl~~. The father of the girl stated that after the birth of the ~~married~~ dead girl 1 daughter and 2 sons were born to him. These witnesses ~~could~~ could have been verified, and it was also ascertainable whether the girl in question was or had a younger sister and whether the entry could not have referred to the latter. ~~that girl~~.

As all the available items of information have not been collected, and the evidence on record does not appear to be conclusive, I decline to record sanction to the prosecution applied for in the case.

3.1.02
Secy.

Dewan's order

Yes

The I. G. of Police in my view recommending the proceedings need with the letter read above.

mcl
3/1/02.

Copy
1/02